

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/727,134	11/30/2000	Norbert Wolters	8874-US	2924
75	90 07/08/2005		EXAMINER	
Kevin J. Moriarty			KOVACS, ARPAD F	
Patent Departme	ent			
DEERE & COMPANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One John Deere Place			3671	
Moline, IL 61265-8098			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/727,134	WOLTERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Árpád Fábián Kovács	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Not</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-19</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No /Mail Date 07052005				

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/727,134

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-7, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiegert (PCT WO 99/03323), in view of Thompson (2777267) and Pottinger et al (GB 2012154, cited by the applicant).

Wiegert discloses:

In re independent claims 1, 2, 20:

a feeding and picking device for feeding and picking a standing crop's individual plant stalks, the device comprising:

a rotating feeding element rotated about a vertical axis comprising a body with outwardly extending fingers (fig 4, ref 20);

a picking/gathering device (figs 4, 6, refs 10, 11) which separate useable parts from stalks:

claim 3:

a snapping channel/gathering gap (31) wherein the feeding device covers the snapping channel (as shown on fig 4, the feeding device covers the channel);

Application/Control Number: 09/727,134

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 8/27/2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The information disclosure statement filed 8/27/2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/727,134

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-7, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiegert (PCT WO 99/03323), in view of Thompson (2777267) and Pottinger et al (GB 2012154, cited by the applicant).

Wiegert discloses:

In re independent claims 1, 2, 20:

a feeding and picking device for feeding and picking a standing crop's individual plant stalks, the device comprising:

a rotating feeding element rotated about a vertical axis comprising a body with outwardly extending fingers (fig 4, ref 20);

a picking/gathering device (figs 4, 6, refs 10, 11) which separate useable parts from stalks;

claim 3:

a snapping channel/gathering gap (31) wherein the feeding device covers the snapping channel (as shown on fig 4, the feeding device covers the channel);

Application/Control Number: 09/727,134

Art Unit: 3671

Wiegert discloses the claimed invention above including the fact the feeding element can be substituted by any other known devices (page 4, 2nd paragraph), however Wiegert does not show or list the claimed alternative feeding device which grasps plant stalks as claimed.

Both Pottinger and Thompson disclose known devices for conveying the stalk, for example Thompson shows that the feeding device with finger (fig 1-2, ref 31), wherein the fingers of the upper element (for example ref 37) are directed away from a direction of rotation (as shown on fig 1) and the lower feeding element is beneath the upper feeding element and rotate the same direction as the upper one (fig 2, 3); and similarly Pottinger shows on fig 2 and 4, the same arrangement wherein the upper feeding element (13 or 14, and tines or fingers 10) are directed away from the direction of the feeding element; and the lower feeding element is beneath the upper feeding element and rotate the same direction as the upper one (fig 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the feeding device of Wiegert with the alternative feeding device taught by either Pottinger and/or Thompson, in order to improve the lifting actions and support on stalks which may have been leaned forwardly by the agricultural harvester.

Application/Control Number: 09/727,134 Page 5

Art Unit: 3671

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 8-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3671

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián Kovács whose telephone number is 571 272 6990. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571 272 6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Árpád Fábián Kovács Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

ÁFK